COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

INVESTIGATIVE SUMMARY

IAB #:	IV229139	9	
INCIDENT:	Domestic	Violence (Off Duty)	
DATE / TIME:	June 24, 2	2011 / 2225 Hours	
LOCATION:			
INVOLVED PERSONNEL:	Deputy Sh	Freeman # neriff eau, Countywide Services Divis	ion
	Deputy Sh Transit Bu	neriff reau North, Transit Policing Div	ision
OPENING STATE	MENT		
by Subject Freemand she broke his	ere involved During the dis an, she threv cell phone a	une 24, 2011, off-duty Deputies in a family dispute in their homespute, Subject alleged alleged a vase at him which struck a dand several picture frames. Subject to her hand.	e at he had a struck in the face loorframe near his head,
SYNOPSIS			
the home (regarding a family	disturbance conducted ts headlights	cers from the Upland Police Dep of Subjects Fre . While he was in route to the di a traffic stop on a Ford Mustang off. Driving the Mustang was S	eman and sturbance, Sergeant that he saw leaving a
INVESTIGATIVE S	UMMARY	Page 1 of 9	IV2291399

At the home, Officers and and contacted Subject and his two and
contact between her and Subject Refer to the Upland police reports in Exhibit A.
In addition, the officers learned that Subjects Freeman and were in a dating relationship and living together at that location. Based on their investigation, Officers and determined Subject Freeman had committed an assault with a deadly weapon, 245(A)(1) P.C. on Subject by throwing the vase at his head. Subject Freeman was arrested for the assault. After being taking into custody, Subject Freeman alleged that a scratch to her left hand was caused by Subject Subject was subsequently arrested for Domestic Battery, 243(E)(1) P.C. and taken into custody.
IAB Note: IAB Investigators requested a copy of the 9-1-1 call made to Upland Police Department. According to their custodian of records to their custodian of records to their normal retention policy.
Detective of the Upland Police Department presented the case to the San Bernardino District Attorney's Office for consideration of a complaint. The District Attorney filed one misdemeanor count of Assault with a Deadly Weapon, 245(A)(1) P.C. against Subject Freeman (Exhibit B). The criminal case against Subject was rejected due to the Lack of Corpus (Exhibit C).
On April 14, 2014, the criminal case against Subject Freeman was dismissed after she completed a fifty-two week Domestic Violence/Batters Treatment Program and made payment to the House of Ruth. For further, refer to the court minutes (Exhibit D).
WITNESS INTERVIEWS
Officer Committee of the Committee of th
On November 4, 2014, Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant Victor Allen interviewed Upland Police Department Officer at Upland Police Department. The interview was audio recorded and later transcribed. A copy of the audio file and transcript are included in the case. The following is a summary of the interview.
Officer stated that he authored a supplemental report documenting the event and his actions (Pages 7-10 of Exhibit A). He said his report is a true and

accurate account of the incident. In addition to what was contained in his report, Officer seems said he had contact with both Subjects Freeman and during this incident. He described Subject Freeman as being somewhat cooperative. He said she was very argumentative as they tried to explain things to her. Officer described Subject as being calm and collected. He said explained everything that occurred very clearly. Officer said the damage to the doorframe appeared to be fresh. He made this determination because he said there was splintering to the wood on the doorframe and wood chips on the floor in the area of the vase. The wood chips appear to have come from the doorframe. Officer leaves looked at the photos of the doorframe (Exhibit E). He said the photos depicted the damage he saw the night of June 24. 2011. Officer On October 30, 2014, Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant Victor Allen interviewed Upland Police Department Officer at Upland Police Department. The interview was audio recorded and later transcribed. A copy of the audio file and transcript are included in the case. The following is a summary of the interview. Officer stated that he authored a supplemental report documenting the event and his actions (Pages 10-11 of Exhibit A). He said his report is a true and accurate account of the incident. Officer said he responded to the day after the domestic violence call involving Subjects Freeman and He was dispatched to the location to interview the two and because they had not been interviewed. He said he did not have any contact with Subject Freeman or on the night of the incident. Officer said he conducted the interview of the separately. In their interview, each of the stated they saw Subject Freeman with a knife in her left hand. described the knife and an eight-inch folding knife with a silver blade and black handle. said Subject Freeman had the knife behind her back. described the knife a having a seven-inch silver blade with a black handle. Subject Freeman was holding the knife at her side. IAB Note: In her IAB interview, Subject Freeman denied having a knife in possession during this incident. Subject said he did not see Subject Freeman with a knife.

Officer said his interview with

and was audio record. He

identified the audio file #27381295 in Exhibit F as the file he recorded.
Officer 100 Control of the Control o
On October 30, 2014, Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant Victor Allen interviewed Upland Police Department Officer at Upland Police Department. The interview was audio recorded and later transcribed. A copy of the audio file and transcript are included in the case. The following is a summary of the interview.
Officer stated that he authored a supplemental report (Pages 11-12 of Exhibit A) documenting his actions during the domestic violence call at on June 24, 2011. He said his report is a true and accurate account of the incident.
In addition to what was contained in his report, Officer said he only had contact with Subject during this incident. He said he did not discuss with Subject what occurred in the home. He said during his interaction with Subject Subject was calm, very relaxed and professional.
Officer said his contact with Subject was recorded. He identified the audio files #24012222, 24012223 and 24012224 in Exhibit F as the recordings he made during this incident.
Officer Common C
On October 31, 2014, Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant Victor Alien interviewed Upland Police Department Officer at Upland Police Department. The interview was audio recorded and later transcribed. A copy of the audio file and transcript are included in the case. The following is a summary of the interview.
Officer stated that he authored a supplemental report documenting the event and his actions (Pages 4-7 of Exhibit A). He said his report is a true and accurate account of the incident.
In addition to what was contained in his report, Officer said he had contact with both Subject Freeman and during this incident. He described Subject Freeman as being cooperative during his contact with her. She had told him she had consumed one glass of wine prior to this incident. Officer said she did not appear to be intoxicated.
Officer described Subject as being cooperative as well. He said it did not appear that Subject had consumed any alcoholic beverages. He said his interaction with them did not affect his perception of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department.

Officer said he did not recall seeing any of the damage inside the home. He had learned through interviews that a vase had been thrown causing the damage to the doorframe.
Officer said his interaction with Subjects Freeman and were recorded. He identified the audio files #27640294 and 27640295 in Exhibit F as the recordings he made during this incident.
Sergeant Figure 1
On November 4, 2014, Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant Victor Allen interviewed Upland Police Department Sergeant at Upland Police Department. The interview was audio recorded and later transcribed. A copy of the audio file and transcript are included in the case. The following is a summary of the interview.
Sergeant said he did not author a report regarding this incident. On June 24, 2011, he said he responded regarding a domestic violence call. As he drove to the scene, he saw a car leaving the alley with its headlights off. Believing the car might be related to the call, he said he conducted a traffic stop on the vehicle. Inside the car was Subject Freeman.
Sergeant said upon contacting Subject Freeman, she was cooperative. He said he smelled an odor of an alcoholic beverage coming from her person, but she was not slurring her words or having any difficulty walking. Sergeant said he was not the supervisor on the call. He informed Sergeant who was the supervisor on scene regarding his contact with Subject Freeman. He also advised Subject that Subject Freeman had been driving his car.
IAB Note: Sergeant had been off work for several months due to a job related injury. My contact information was provided to Sergeant on two occasions by the Upland Police Department; however, he did not contact me.
Sergeant said he did not have much contact with Subject but said Subject was cooperative. He said his interaction with both Subjects Freeman and was audio recorded. He identified the audio file that contained his ID number of 514, transaction number of 188742 and job number of 768 (5140768) as the recording he made from this incident (Exhibit F).
IAB Note: The officers from Upland Police Department based the assault with a deadly weapon charge against Subject Freeman on their determination that vase was thrown near the head of Subject They came to this conclusion

based on the statements and damage to the doorjamb. During her IAB interview, Subject Freeman attributed the damage to the doorjamb to her who assisted them with the move into the home. Witness was interviewed to determine the cause of the damage.
On October 29, 2014, Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeant Victor Allen interviewed Witness
at the The interview was audio recorded and later transcribed. A copy of the audio file and transcript are included in the case.
Witness said he is the said of Subject Freeman. He said he knew Subject only during the course of the relationship Subject had with Subject Freeman. They had met approximately two times.
Witness said he assisted Subjects Freeman and when they moved into the home at During the move, Witness said he damaged one of the walls in the house while moving part of a bed. He said it happen while moving either the headboard or the bed frame around a corner in the house. He remembered saying they would need to touch the area up with paint. Witness said he believed both Subjects and Freeman were aware of the damage he caused to the wall. He did not recall causing any damage to any of the floors.
When asked if the damage he caused was to the wall or the door frame, Witness said it was to the drywall portion of the wall. He was shown two photos (Exhibit O) of the damage to the door frame. After seeing the photos, Witness dentified the damage to the wooden door frame depicted in the two photos as the damage he caused while moving part of the bed.
SUBJECT INTERVIEWS
Subject Comments of the Commen
On Tuesday September 16, 2014, Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeants Victor Allen and Brian Godwin interviewed Subject during the interview was Attorney Leslie Wilcox from Green and Shinee. The interview was audio recorded and later transcribed. A copy of the audio file and transcript are included in the case.
Subject said he had been dating Subject Freeman for about a year and a half. On June 24, 2011, they had been living together for about eight months. After that date, the relationship continued for about another two months.
Subject said on June 24, 2011, Subject Freeman had spoken to his by telephone. During this conversation, Subject Freeman and his

became involved in an argument. His had told Subject Freeman that he (Subject Subject Subject Subject Subject Subject Subject Freeman called him on the telephone. When Subject Freeman called him, she was upset over the conversation she had with his Subject Freeman, if she did not believe him, they did not need to be together. He said their phone conversation then ended.
Subject said, when he arrived home from work, Subject Freeman was not there. He had been home about five minutes when Subject Freeman arrived at the house. She confronted him in the master bedroom about the conversation she had with his Subject said Subject Freeman began to punch him, slap him and grabbed him by his neck. She was crying and saying something to him, but Subject did not recall what she was saying. He told her to stop and told his to call the police. His where in their bedroom which was adjacent to the master bedroom. Subject did not try to stop Subject Freeman from hitting him. He was concerned about any marks he would cause to her due to her light complexion. In addition, his where in the house and he wanted them to understand that you did not put your hands on a woman.
Subject said Subject Freeman then grabbed his cell phone. She began to slam it against the sink several times causing it to break. He told his said call 9-1-1 and he left to go into the room with his Subject said Subject Freeman followed him out of the room. She grabbed a vase that was in the hallway and threw it at him. As he was entering his said froom, he said the vase hit the doorjamb leading to the room. The vase struck the doorjamb at a height near his head. Subject went into his room and spoke to the police dispatcher on the telephone. Subject subject Subject Freeman slamming down the pictures frames in the hallway. He then heard her leave the house.
A short time later, Subject said the officers from Upland Police Department arrived at his home. He explained to them what had occurred and that he was desirous of prosecution. Subject then learned that Subject Freeman was being detained and was stopped driving his car. He was not aware she had taken his car and she did not have permission to drive it.
Later, a sergeant from the Upland Police Department advised him that Subject Freeman was desirous of prosecution for a scratch to her hand. She told the officer's the scratch was caused by Subject Subject Subject denied grabbing Subject Freeman's hand, placing his hand on her hand, causing the scratch to her hand or the redness to her wrist. Subject did not know how Subject Freeman sustained the injury to her hand, but pointed out that Subject Freeman had broken several glass picture frames. He denied placing his hands on her in any way or trying to restrain her. He identified the photos in Exhibit G as pictures of Subject Freeman's hands and wrist

Subject reviewed the photos of the damage to the doorjamb (Exhibit H). He said the damage was caused when the vase struck it. He said it was not caused when they moved into the home. He recalled a floor being damaged by the refrigerator when they moved in.
Subject identified the broken pictures frames depicted in the photos Exhibit I and Exhibit J as the pictures Subject Freeman knocked off the counter. The photos depicted in Exhibit K , Subject identified as the vase he said Subject Freeman threw at him. He identified the pictures that were taken of him in Exhibit L . He believed the red marks on his neck were caused when Subject Freeman grabbed him. The photos in Exhibit M he identified as pictures of Subject Freeman. Regarding the photos of the damaged louvers in Exhibit N , Subject said there were louvers throughout the home. He did not know which part of the house the photos depicted or what caused the damage.
Subject Jeanette Freeman
On Thursday, September 11, 2014, Internal Affairs Bureau Sergeants Victor Allen and Carlos Flores interviewed Subject Jeanette Freeman at Internal Affairs Bureau. Representing Subject Freeman during the interview was Attorney Charles Goldwasser. The interview was audio recorded and later transcribed. A copy of the audio file and transcript are included in the case.
Subject Freeman stated she met Subject in May of 2010. They began living together as boyfriend and girlfriend in November of 2010. She said the relationship ended in April of 2012.
On Friday, June 24, 2011, Subject Freeman said she had called the request of Subject Ms. Subject Freeman said she learn Subject had shared personal information about their relationship with Ms. Subject Freeman called Subject on the telephone and told him she was upset about what he was sharing with Ms. This conversation with Subject took place during the day while they were both at work.
After getting off work, Subject Freeman met with her subject Freeman planned to go home and retrieve some items so she could stay the weekend at her subject behavior of the work of the weekend at her subject speaking to his two and subject subject speaking to his two confronted Subject subject subject should be said Subject subject to their room.

After his left the room, Subject Freeman said Subject approached her. He did not like how she was talking in front of his Subject Freeman grabbed Subject new iPhone from the counter and began to smash it on the sink of the master bathroom. She grabbed the phone because she was upset. At that point, Subject Freeman said Subject grabbed both her hands in an effort to stop her from breaking the phone. When he grabbed her hand, Subject Freeman said Subject scratched her hand.
Subject Freeman was able to free her right hand from Subject grasp. With her right hand, she slapped Subject twice across his face. Subject then released his grasp on her hand. Subject Freeman said Subject left their room and went into the bedroom with the She followed him into the room and saw that was on the phone with a 9-1-1 operator.
Subject Freeman left the car keys and went back to the master bedroom. She grabbed Subject car keys and went back into the common. In the bedroom, she heard Subject car keys and went back into the common. In the bedroom, she heard Subject car keys and went back into the common. In the bedroom, she heard Subject car keys and went back into the common. In the bedroom, she heard Subject car subject car followed her and was cursing at her. Subject Freeman said on a counter in the hallway, there were several pictures. She slapped the pictures causing them all to fall down to the ground. She then picked up a vase and threw it in Subject car direction. She said the vase landed on the floor. Subject Freeman said she was not throwing the vase at Subject she left the location in Subject car. As she was leaving, Subject Freeman was stopped by officers form the Upland Police Department.
Subject Freeman identified the broken pictures frames depicted in the photos (Exhibits P and Q) as the pictures frames she slapped off the counter. The damage to the door frame that is depicted in the photos (Exhibit R), Subject Freeman said was caused by her when the assisted them in moving into the home. She identified the photos in Exhibit S as depicting her injuries to her hand and wrists she said was caused by Subject Subject Freeman identified the broken vase depicted in the photos in Exhibit T as the vase she threw. She identified Subject as the person depicted in the photos of Exhibit U. Subject Freeman also said there were louvers throughout the home. She did not know which part of the house the photos in Exhibit V depicted or what caused the damage.